# IPSec Hardware Resource Requirements Evaluation

Alberto Ferrante and Vincenzo Piuri

DTI, University of Milan

{ferrante, piuri}@dti.unimi.it

Jeff Owen

**AST, ST Microelectronics** 

jefferson.owen@st.com

# **Presentation Outline**

### 1. IPSec;

- 2. Testbed Network and Description of Tests;
- 3. Performance Results;
- 4. Considerations on Performance;
- 5. Conclusions and Future Work.

|--|

**Testbed and Tests** 

Performance Results

Considerations on Performance

# **IPSec**

#### IPSec

**Testbed and Tests** 

Performance Results

Considerations on Performance

Conclusions and Future Work

Is a suite of protocols

- adding security at IP (network) level;
- makes extensive use of cryptographic functions;
- it is included as security mechanism in IPv6.

# AH, ESP

#### IPSec

**Testbed and Tests** 

Performance Results

Considerations on Performance

- Is mainly composed of two protocols:
  - Authentication Header (AH);
  - Encapsulating Security Payload (ESP);
- both protocols can be used in:
  - transport mode;
  - tunnel mode;
- Addictional protocol:
  - IP Compression (IPComp).

## Databases

### IPSec uses two databases:

- the Security Policy Database (SPD);
- the Security Association Database (SAD):
  - the records are the Security Associations (SAs).

#### IPSec

**Testbed and Tests** 

Performance Results

Considerations on Performance

# **Security Associations**

### Each SA contains:

- protocol/algorithms settings;
- keys for cryptographic algorithms;
- SAs are mono-directional:
  - two SAs need to be created for normal bidirectional communications.

#### IPSec

**Testbed and Tests** 

Performance Results

Considerations on Performance

# **IPSec - Scenario**



### NGI 2005, Rome

# **Testbed Network (1)**



# **Testbed Network (2)**

#### **IPSec**

**Testbed and Tests** 

Performance Results

Considerations on Performance

- Netperf tool was used for the tests and to measure:
  - average network throughput;
  - average CPU effort;
- a set of Bash scripts were used to measure:
  - instantaneous CPU load;
  - instantaneous network traffic.

# **Tests**

### No IPSec;

- ESP in tunnel mode:
  - NULL + HMAC-SHA-1;
  - AES 128;
  - ◆ AES 128 + HMAC-SHA-1;
    - no IPComp;
    - IPComp;
  - ◆ AES 128 + HMAC-SHA-2 256;
- ESP in tunnel mode + AH tunnel mode:
  ESP: AES 128; AH: HMAC-SHA-1.

### **IPSec**

**Testbed and Tests** 

Performance Results

Considerations on Performance

# **Performance: 100Mbit/s net.**



### **CPU Effort and Usage**



# **Performance: 10Mbit/s net.**

### Throughput



- 1. No IPSec
- 2. ESP (NULL, HMAC-SHA-1)
- 3. ESP (AES 128)
- 4. ESP (AES 128, HMAC-SHA-1)
- ESP (AES 128, HMAC-SHA-2 256) 5.
- 6. ESP (AES 128), AH (HMAC-SHA-1)
- 7. ESP + IPComp useful
- 8. ESP + IPComp not useful

### **CPU Effort and Usage**



# **Performance Considerations (1)**

- For secure gateways there would also be the computational load for:
  - management of databases;
  - VPN server;
  - routing, firewalling, ...;

**IPSec** 

**Testbed and Tests** 

Performance Results

Considerations on Performance

# **Performance Considerations (2)**

# hardware acceleration for IPSec is desirable:

- in high speed networks:
  - it is the only way to obtain desired performance;
- In low speed networks:
  - it helps optimizing overall system efficiency.

**IPSec** 

**Testbed and Tests** 

Performance Results

Considerations on Performance

# **Performance Considerations (3)**

- IPComp helps improving network performance in low bandwidth environments, but:
   it is very resource consuming;
  - an accelerator is needed for devices with limited computational capabilities.

**IPSec** 

**Testbed and Tests** 

Performance Results

Considerations on Performance

# **IPSec Settings (1)**

- Encryption should be used only when it is really necessary;
- suitable algorithms (and settings) need to be selected:
  - 3-DES is obsolete and slow in software!
  - 128-bit keys for AES are enough to protect most of the information.

	Ρ	S	e	С
--	---	---	---	---

**Testbed and Tests** 

Performance Results

Considerations on Performance

# **IPSec settings (2)**

#### **IPSec**

**Testbed and Tests** 

Performance Results

Considerations on Performance

Conclusions and Future Work

**IPComp:** 

- is very useful in some cases;
- is very performance killing in some others;
- its usefulness can be evaluated a priori.

# **Conclusions and Future Work**

These tests allowed us to understand:

- IPSec requirements;
- possible settings to be used;
- a performance study in an IPv6 environment and in embedded system environment is ongoing.

#### **IPSec**

**Testbed and Tests** 

Performance Results

Considerations on Performance